MSU 4.1-526 Appl. No. 09/670,096 August 10, 2004 Reply to Office Action of Feb. 23, 2004

## REMARKS

Claims 1, 2 and 21 are pending in the application.

Claims 1, 2 and 21 have been rejected. No claims have been allowed. Claims 2 and 21 have been amended. Claim 21 has been amended to correct a minor typographical error so as to present the claim in better form for consideration on appeal.

Claim 2 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 2 has been amended to direct the Claim to the "method of Claim 21" so as to resolve the issue of antecedent basis and present the rejected claim in better form for consideration on appeal.

MSU 4.1-526

Appl. No. 09/670,096 August 10, 2004

Reply to Office Action of Feb. 23, 2004

It is believed that amended Claim 2 particularly points out and distinctly claims the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Reconsideration of the rejection is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Ian C. McLeod

Registration No. 20,931

McLEOD & MOYNE, P.C. 2190 Commons Parkway Okemos, MI 48864 (517) 347-4100

Fax: (517) 347-4103